

Post-publication comments on “A generalised Gangolli-Lévy-Khinchine formula...”

On pages 11 and 12, X_λ should be changed to $X_{-\lambda}$ within the definitions of Y_+ and $Y_{++}^{(H)}$. Then on p.12, +12, it should be $[H, Y_+] = -Y_{--}^{(H)}$, but this does not affect the sequel. In (3.12) and the line below, $k_t := \exp(tX)$.

(This is on page 606 of the published version.)

On the left hand side of equation (3.21) ((3.13) in the published version), $\frac{d}{dt}\xi_\lambda(\exp(tX))f(l)\Big|_{t=0}$ should be replaced by $\frac{d}{dt}e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(A(t\exp(tX)))}\Big|_{t=0}$, and the $f(l)$ on the right hand side should be deleted.

In Corollary 5.1, the infinitely divisible measure should be without idempotent factors; see also the reference to the Dani-McCrudden theorem in the introduction.

(This is on p.610 of the published version.)

There are some problems with section 6. In particular if μ_t is right- K -invariant, then the semigroup $(T_t, t \geq 0)$ does not preserve the space $C_0(G/K)$. That in itself is not hard to put right. But more recently, in *Potential Analysis* **43**, 707–15 (2015), Ming Liao has shown that all continuous right- K -invariant convolution semigroups are K -bi-invariant. So, apart from Theorem 6.1, the work of this section adds nothing new to what is already known.

On page 19, line -2, “right invariant” should be “right K -invariant”.

(This is 5 lines from the bottom of p.611 of the published version.)

p.20 , + 8 and +9 $C_0(G/K)$ should be $C_0(G)$.

(This is on the last line of p.611 and the first line of p.612 of the published version.)

On page 21, -2 it should be $X_{-\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} i & i \\ -i & -i \end{pmatrix}$

(This is on p.613, +5 of the published version.)

On page 24, line 11, $\sinh^2(\cdot)$ should be changed to $-\sinh^2(\cdot)$.

(p.614,-8 of published version.)

On page 24, -14 should be $\rho_{\lambda,0,0}(X_1) = \rho_{\lambda,0,0}(X_2) = 0$.

(p.614,-5 of published version.)

The calculation of the quadratic term in the Lévy-Khintchine formula at the bottom of page 24 is incorrect. It should proceed as follows: first note that if $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and ϕ, ψ are in the domain of $d\xi(Y)$, then since this operator

is skew-adjoint on its domain,

$$\langle d\xi(Y)\psi, \phi \rangle = -\overline{\langle d\xi(Y)\phi, \psi \rangle}.$$

It follows that for $i = 1, 2$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\rho_{\lambda, k, n}(X_i) = -\overline{\rho_{\lambda, n, k}(X_i)}$. In the bi-invariant case, the matrix $(a_{ij}) = aI$, where $a \geq 0$. Hence, using the fact that the only non-vanishing terms are $\rho_{\lambda, 0, n}(X_1) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + i\lambda)$ if $n = \pm 1$, and $\rho_{\lambda, 0, n}(X_2) = \frac{\pm i}{4}(1 + i\lambda)$ if $n = \pm 1$, we find that the required quadratic term is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a^{i,j} \rho_{\lambda, 0, k}(X_i) \rho_{\lambda, k, 0}(X_j) &= -a \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{k=-1,1} \rho_{\lambda, 0, k}(X_i) \overline{\rho_{\lambda, 0, k}(X_i)} \\ &= -\frac{4}{16} a (1 + i\lambda)(1 - i\lambda) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} a (1 + \lambda^2), \end{aligned}$$

which is a non-negative multiple of an eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, as required.

(See top of page 615 in published version).

p.26, -3 ρ_g should be \mathcal{R}_g .

(This is on p.616, line 14 of the published version.).