

**MAS451/6352 Measure and Probability - Solutions to Additional Exercises on Product Measures and Fubini's Theorem II**

(3) Let  $E = A \times B$ . Then if  $x \in S_1$ ,

$$E_x = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } x \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

So  $\phi_E(x) = m_2(B)\mathbf{1}_A(x)$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 \times m_2)(A \times B) &= \int_{S_1} \phi_E(x) dm_1(x) \\ &= m_2(B) \int_{S_1} \mathbf{1}_A(x) dm_1(x) \\ &= m_1(A)m_2(B). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Suppose that  $\mu$  is a measure that takes the same value as  $m_1 \times m_2$  on finite product sets. Define

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2; \mu(E) = (m_1 \times m_2)(E)\}.$$

By definition of  $\mu$ , the collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of all finite product sets is in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since

$$(A_1 \times A_2) \cap (B_1 \times B_2) = (A_1 \cap A_2) \times (B_1 \cap B_2),$$

it follows that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -system. Using basic properties of measures, it is not hard to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system (use the solution to Problem 1 to establish (L1)). By  $\sigma$ -finiteness, it follows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$  and by Dynkin's  $\pi - \lambda$  lemma,  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . The result follows.

(5) (a) *Method 1.* First let  $f = \mathbf{1}_A$  and let  $g = \mathbf{1}_B$ . Since  $h = \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_B = \mathbf{1}_{A \times B}$ , it is clear that  $h$  is measurable in this case. Next use linearity, to extend to the case where  $f$  and  $g$  are non-negative simple functions. Next let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary non-negative measurable functions. Then by Theorem 2.4.1, there is a sequence of non-negative simple functions  $(s_n)$  converging pointwise to  $f$ , and a corresponding sequence  $(t_m)$  converging pointwise to  $g$ . Taking limits as  $m$  and  $n$  go to infinity, proves that  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable in this case. Finally let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable functions. Write  $f = f_+ - f_-$  and  $g = g_+ - g_-$ . Then

$$fg = (f_+g_+ + f_-g_-) - (f_-g_+ + f_+g_-),$$

is measurable as it is a sum of products of measurable functions.

*Method 2.* For  $B \in \Sigma_2$ , define  $\tilde{f}_B(x, y) = f(x)\mathbf{1}_B(y)$  for all  $x \in S_1, y \in S_2$ . The mapping  $\tilde{f} : S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable since for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}((a, \infty)) = f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \times B \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ . In particular,  $\tilde{f}_{S_2}$  is measurable; however  $\tilde{f}_{S_2}(x, y) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in S_1, y \in S_2$ ; so  $h = \tilde{f}_{S_2}\tilde{g}_{S_1}$  is the product of measurable functions, hence is measurable.

(b) Follows easily from Fubini's theorem (2).

(6) Let  $m$  be counting measure on  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $a(i, j) = a_{ij}$  defines a non-negative measurable function from  $(\mathbb{N}^2, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}^2))$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ , where we note that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}^2) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{N}^2} a \, d(m \times m) &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{i,j}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{N}} a(i, j) \, dm(i) \right) dm(j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{N}} a(i, j) \, dm(j) \right) dm(i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by Fubini's theorem 1.

(7) (a)

$$\begin{aligned} A_f^c &= \{(x, t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq f(x) < t\} \\ &= \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{(x, t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq f(x) < q, t \geq q\} \\ &= \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} f^{-1}([0, q)) \times (q, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

which is a countable union of measurable sets, and so is measurable. Hence  $A_f = (A_f^c)^c$  is measurable.

(b) We use the definition (as a Lebesgue integral) of product measure. Fix  $x \in S$ . Then the  $x$ -slice  $(A_f)_x$  is just the interval  $[0, f(x)]$ . Its Lebesgue measure is  $f(x)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} (m \times \lambda)(A_f) &= \int_S \lambda[(A_f)_x] \, dm(x) \\ &= \int_S f(x) \, dm(x). \end{aligned}$$

(8) First fix  $T > 0$  and use the hint:

$$\int_0^T \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^T \sin(x) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-xy} dy \right) dx.$$

Now  $f(x, y) = e^{-xy} \sin(x)$  is continuous, and so Riemann integrable (and hence Lebesgue integrable) on  $[0, t] \times [0, N]$ . By Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \sin(x) \left( \int_0^N e^{-xy} dy \right) dx &= \int_0^N \left( \int_0^T e^{-xy} \sin(x) dx \right) dy \\ &= - \int_0^N \left( \frac{y}{1+y^2} e^{-yT} \sin(T) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{1+y^2} (e^{-yT} \cos(T) - 1) \right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

using integration by parts.

On the other hand,  $\int_0^N e^{-xy} dy = \frac{1}{x}(1 - e^{-Ny})$  and so

$$\left| \int_0^N e^{-xy} dy \right| \leq \frac{2}{x}.$$

Since  $x \rightarrow \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$  is continuous, and hence integrable, on  $[0, T]$  we can use dominated convergence to assert that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx &= \int_0^T \sin(x) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-xy} dy \right) dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{y}{1+y^2} e^{-yT} \sin(T) + \frac{1}{1+y^2} (e^{-yT} \cos(T) - 1) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now use monotonicity in the first integral (since  $y/1+y^2 \leq 1$ ), and dominated convergence in the second (since  $|e^{-yT} \cos(T) - 1| \leq 2$ ), to deduce that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+y^2} dy = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

(9) (a) Both integrals vanish by elementary calculus arguments.

(b) Let  $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; -1 \leq x \leq 1, -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$  and  $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ . We require  $\int_S |f(x, y)| dx dy < \infty$ .

Note that  $\int_S |f(x, y)| dx dy \geq \int_A |f(x, y)| dx dy$ . Now if  $f$  were integrable over  $A$ , we could use Fubini's theorem to write it as repeated integral. But consider

$$\int_0^1 x \left( \int_0^1 \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dy \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{x}{x^2 + 1} \right) dx.$$

Since  $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$  is not integrable over  $[0, 1]$ , the result follows.