

**MAS350 solutions (2016-17)**

1. (i) We have  $P(\emptyset) = cP_1(\emptyset) + (1-c)P_2(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $P(S) = cP_1(S) + (1-c)P_2(S) = 1$  and for  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  disjoint

$$\begin{aligned} P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) &= cP_1(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) + (1-c)P_2(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \\ &= c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_1(A_n) + (1-c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_2(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) (a) Consider the disjoint sets  $C_1 = A_1$ ,  $C_2 = A_2 - A_1$ ,  $C_3 = A_3 - A_2 \dots$ . Then  $A_N = \cup_{n=1}^N C_n$ . Therefore

$$m(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(C_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N m(C_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m(A_N).$$

- (b) The sets  $A_n = S - B_n$  are increasing. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m(B) &= m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c) = m((\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c) \\ &= m(S) - m(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = m(S) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(B_n) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$  and the sets  $B_n = [n, \infty)$ . Note that  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} [n, \infty) = \emptyset$  but  $m(B_n) = \infty$ .

- (iii)  $\Sigma$  should contain  $A \cap B = \{3\}$ ,  $A - B = \{1, 2\}$  and  $B - A = \{4, 5\}$ . Take unions of these sets

$$\{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, S\}$$

One can check that this is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Any  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains  $A$  and  $B$  must contain the above sets.

- (iv) This follows from

$$[a, b] = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - n^{-1}, b + n^{-1})$$

and

$$\{a\} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - n^{-1}, a + n^{-1})$$

2. (i) (a)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -1$

- (b) Yes, the limit is  $-1$

- (ii) (a) Just observe that

$$(f + \mathbf{1})^{-1}([a, \infty)) = \{s \in S : f(s) + 1 \in [a, \infty)\} = f^{-1}([a - 1, \infty)) \in \Sigma$$

since  $f$  is measurable.

- (b) Let  $r_n, n \geq 1$  be an enumeration of the rationals.

$$\{f > g\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f > r_n > g\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\{f > r_n\} \cap \{g < r_n\}) \in \Sigma.$$

This is because both  $\{f > r_n\}$  and  $\{g < r_n\}$  are in  $\Sigma$  by the measurability of  $f$  and  $g$ .

- (iii)  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cap B} = 1$  if and only if  $x \in A \cap B$ . When  $x \in A \cap B$  both  $\mathbf{1}_A(x)$  and  $\mathbf{1}_B(x)$  are 1. When  $x \notin A \cap B$  at least one of  $\mathbf{1}_A(x)$  and  $\mathbf{1}_B(x)$  is 0. This proves the identity.

- (iv) A simple example is  $S = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{\emptyset, S\}$ . Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f(0) = -1$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . We see that  $|f|$  is a constant function and therefore measurable with respect to  $\Sigma$  whereas  $f$  is not measurable since  $f^{-1}(\{-1\}) = \{0\}$  which is not in  $\Sigma$ .

- (v) If  $f$  is constant on both  $A$  and  $A^c$  then it is of the form  $f = c_1 \mathbf{1}_A + c_2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ . This is a simple function and is therefore measurable.

Next take any measurable  $f$ . We must have  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) \in \Sigma$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $a \in f(A)$  and  $b \in f(A^c)$ . The set  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  is in  $\Sigma$  and should be either  $A$  or  $S$ . Similarly  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$  is either  $A^c$  or  $S$ . In any case this implies that  $f$  has to be constant on both  $A$  and  $A^c$ .

3. (i) (a) One can see

$$f_+ = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 2, & 10 \leq x < 11, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad f_- = \begin{cases} 2, & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ 3 & 2 \leq x < 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) To compute the integral we first compute the integrals of  $f_+$  and  $f_-$ . Both are simple functions and thus are easily computed

$$\int f_+(x)dx = 3 \quad \int f_-(x)dx = 8$$

Therefore  $\int f(x)dx = -5$ .

(ii) (a) Write

$$f = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f=0} + f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f \neq 0} = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f \neq 0}.$$

Let  $A = \{f \neq 0\}$ .

$$\int f dm = \int_A f_+ dm - \int_A f_- dm = 0$$

since  $m(A) = 0$ .

(b) We know that  $\lambda(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0) = \lambda(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and therefore the integral is 0

(c) It is false. Consider  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$  with  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x < 1/2$  and  $f(x) = -1$  if  $x \geq 1/2$ . This function has integral 0 but is nonzero almost everywhere.

(iii) For a nonnegative function  $f$  we have

$$\int f dm = \sup\{s : s \text{ simple function with } s \leq f\}.$$

Since  $f \leq g$  we have a bigger collection for  $g$  over which we take the supremum. Thus the integral of  $g$  should be larger than the integral of  $f$ .

(iv) We use Markov's inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x \in [0, 2] : f(x) \geq 4) &= \lambda(x \in (1, 2] : f(x) \geq 4) \\ &\leq \frac{\int_1^2 f(x)dx}{4} \leq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

4. (i) (a) Let  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  be a measure space. If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n dm \geq \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n dm$$

(b) Fatou's lemma gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S (f - f_n) dm \geq \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f - f_n) dm$$

Therefore by linearity of the integral

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f dm + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} - \int_S f_n dm \geq \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f dm + \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -f_n dm$$

We know that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -a_n = -\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  for any sequence  $a_n$  and so we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n dm \leq \int_S \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n dm.$$

(ii) (a) Since  $|(1 - e^{-|x|})f(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  we can conclude that  $(1 - e^{-|x|})f(x)$  is integrable.

- (b) Dominated convergence theorem: Let  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  be a measure space. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  which converges pointwise to a (measurable) function  $f$ . Suppose there is an integrable function  $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f$  is integrable and

$$\int_S f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n dm.$$

With  $f_n = (1 - e^{-|x|/n})e^{-|x|}$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = e^{-|x|}$  for all  $x$ . Further  $|f_n| \leq e^{-|x|}$  for all  $n$  and  $e^{-|x|}$  is an integrable function. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (1 - e^{-|x|/n})f(x) dx = \int e^{-|x|} dx = 2.$$

- (iii) Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$  and  $f_n = \mathbf{1}_{[n, \infty)}$ . The functions  $f_n$  decrease to the function  $f \equiv 0$ . We have  $\int f_n d\lambda = \infty$  for all  $n$  but  $\int f d\lambda = 0$ .
- (iv) (a) By the triangle inequality  $|f(x) + g(x)| \leq |f(x)| + |g(x)|$  for all  $x$ . Therefore

$$\int |f(x) + g(x)| dx \leq \int |f(x)| + |g(x)| dx = \int |f(x)| dx + \int |g(x)| dx.$$

- (b) We use the above

$$\int |f_n + g_n - (f + g)| dm \leq \int |f_n - f| dm + \int |g_n - g| dm.$$

Since both the terms on the right hand side go to 0 so does the left hand side.

5. (i) (a) For  $\omega$  such that  $\{X(\omega) = k\}$ , the left hand side is equal to  $k$ . The right hand side

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\omega) \geq i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\omega) \geq i\}} = k.$$

Note that the disjoint events  $\{X(\omega) = k\}$  cover the entire space.

- (b) We use the above along with the monotone convergence theorem

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq i\}}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq i\}}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq i)$$

- (ii) Consider the events

$$A_k = \{\text{The five consecutive tosses starting from the } (5k + 1)\text{th toss are } HTHHT\}$$

Clearly the events  $A_k, k \geq 1$  are independent because they depend on independent coin tosses. Further  $P(A_k) = 2^{-5}$  and so  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$ . Therefore by the Borel Cantelli lemma we have  $P(A_n \text{ occurs i.o.}) = 1$ .

- (iii) We compute

$$E(e^{itX}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2} \frac{e^{itk} 2^k}{k!} = e^{-2} \exp(2e^{it}) = \exp(2(e^{it} - 1)), t \in \mathbb{R}$$

- (iv) Since  $f, g$  are Borel measurable we have for  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  that  $f^{-1}(A), g^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore

$$P(f(X) \in A, g(Y) \in B) = P(X \in f^{-1}(A)) \cdot P(Y \in g^{-1}(B)) = P(f(X) \in A) \cdot P(g(Y) \in B)$$

which implies the independence of  $f(X)$  and  $g(Y)$ .

- (v) To show that  $X_n$  converges a.s. to 0 observe that  $X_n(0) = 0$  and for any  $a > 0$  we have  $X_n(a) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1/a$ . We also see

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n$$

which does not converge to 0 and so  $X_n$  does not converge in mean square to 0.