

**MAS451/6352 solutions (2016-17)**

1. (i) We have  $P(\emptyset) = cP_1(\emptyset) + (1-c)P_2(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $P(S) = cP_1(S) + (1-c)P_2(S) = 1$  and for  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  disjoint

$$\begin{aligned} P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) &= cP_1(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) + (1-c)P_2(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \\ &= c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_1(A_n) + (1-c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_2(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) (a) Consider the disjoint sets  $C_1 = A_1$ ,  $C_2 = A_2 - A_1$ ,  $C_3 = A_3 - A_2 \dots$ . Then  $A_N = \cup_{n=1}^N C_n$ . Therefore

$$m(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(C_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N m(C_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m(A_N).$$

- (b) The sets  $A_n = S - B_n$  are increasing. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m(B) &= m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c) = m((\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c) \\ &= m(S) - m(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = m(S) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(B_n) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$  and the sets  $B_n = [n, \infty)$ . Note that  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} [n, \infty) = \emptyset$  but  $m(B_n) = \infty$ .

- (iii)  $\Sigma$  should contain  $A \cap B = \{3\}$ ,  $A - B = \{1, 2\}$  and  $B - A = \{4, 5\}$ . Take unions of these sets

$$\{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, S\}$$

One can check that this is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Any  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains  $A$  and  $B$  must contain the above sets.

- (iv) This follows from

$$[a, b] = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - n^{-1}, b + n^{-1})$$

and

$$\{a\} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - n^{-1}, a + n^{-1})$$

- (v) (a) We first check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- Clearly  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- We show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under complements. Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We just need to show  $-A^c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and for this it is enough to show that  $-A^c = (-A)^c$ , since  $-A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . To see this

$$x \in -A^c \iff -x \in A^c \iff -x \notin A \iff x \notin -A \iff x \in (-A)^c$$

- Next suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{C}$ . We have that  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We now only need to show that  $-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . For this it is enough to prove that  $-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (-A_i)$ . This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} x \in -\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i &\iff -x \in \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \iff -x \in A_i \text{ for some } i \\ &\iff x \in -A_i \text{ for some } i \iff x \in \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (-A_i) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Note  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}$  for any  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$  because  $-(a, b) = (-b, -a)$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the intervals we must have  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . But by definition  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus equality holds.

2. (i) (a)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -1$   
 (b) Yes, the limit is  $-1$

(ii) (a) Just observe that

$$(f + \mathbf{1})^{-1}([a, \infty)) = \{s \in S : f(s) + 1 \in [a, \infty)\} = f^{-1}([a - 1, \infty)) \in \Sigma$$

since  $f$  is measurable.

(b) Let  $r_n, n \geq 1$  be an enumeration of the rationals.

$$\{f > g\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f > r_n > g\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\{f > r_n\} \cap \{g < r_n\}) \in \Sigma.$$

This is because both  $\{f > r_n\}$  and  $\{g < r_n\}$  are in  $\Sigma$  by the measurability of  $f$  and  $g$ .

(iii) A simple example is  $S = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{\emptyset, S\}$ . Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f(0) = -1$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . We see that  $|f|$  is a constant function and therefore measurable with respect to  $\Sigma$  whereas  $f$  is not measurable since  $f^{-1}(\{-1\}) = \{0\}$  which is not in  $\Sigma$ .

(iv) If  $f$  is constant on both  $A$  and  $A^c$  then it is of the form  $f = c_1 \mathbf{1}_A + c_2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ . This is a simple function and is therefore measurable.

Next take any measurable  $f$ . We must have  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) \in \Sigma$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $a \in A$  and  $b \in A^c$ . The set  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  is in  $\Sigma$  and should be either  $A$  or  $S$ . Similarly  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$  is either  $A^c$  or  $S$ . In any case this implies that  $f$  has to be constant on both  $A$  and  $A^c$ .

(v) (a) Write

$$f = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f=0} + f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f \neq 0} = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{f \neq 0}.$$

Let  $A = \{f \neq 0\}$ .

$$\int f dm = \int_A f_+ dm - \int_A f_- dm = 0$$

since  $m(A) = 0$ .

(b) We know that  $\lambda(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0) = \lambda(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and therefore the integral is 0.

(c) It is false. Consider  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$  with  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x < 1/2$  and  $f(x) = -1$  if  $x \geq 1/2$ . This function has integral 0 but is nonzero almost everywhere.

(vi) We use Markov's inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x \in [0, 2] : f(x) \geq 4) &= \lambda(x \in (1, 2] : f(x) \geq 4) \\ &\leq \frac{\int_1^2 f(x) dx}{4} \leq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

3. (i) Dominated convergence theorem: Let  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  be a measure space. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  which converges pointwise to a (measurable) function  $f$ . Suppose there is an integrable function  $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f$  is integrable and

$$\int_S f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n dm.$$

With  $f_n = (1 - e^{-|x|/n})e^{-|x|}$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = e^{-|x|}$  for all  $x$ . Further  $|f_n| \leq e^{-|x|}$  for all  $n$  and  $e^{-|x|}$  is an integrable function. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (1 - e^{-|x|/n})f(x) dx = \int e^{-|x|} dx = 2.$$

(ii) Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$  and  $f_n = \mathbf{1}_{[n, \infty)}$ . The functions  $f_n$  decrease to the function  $f \equiv 0$ . We have  $\int f_n d\lambda = \infty$  for all  $n$  but  $\int f d\lambda = 0$ .

(iii) Fatou's lemma gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S (f - f_n) dm \geq \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f - f_n) dm$$

Therefore by linearity of the integral

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f dm + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} - \int_S f_n dm \geq \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f dm + \int_S \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -f_n dm$$

We know that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -a_n = -\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  for any sequence  $a_n$  and so we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n dm \leq \int \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n dm.$$

(iv) (a) For  $\omega$  such that  $\{X(\omega) = k\}$ , the left hand side is equal to  $k$ . The right hand side

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\omega) \geq i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\omega) \geq i\}} = k.$$

Note that the disjoint events  $\{X(\omega) = k\}$  cover the entire space.

(b) We use the above along with the monotone convergence theorem

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq i\}}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq i\}}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq i)$$

(v) Consider the events

$$A_k = \{\text{The five consecutive tosses starting from the } (5k+1)\text{th toss are } HTHHT\}$$

Clearly the events  $A_k, k \geq 1$  are independent because they depend on independent coin tosses. Further  $P(A_k) = 2^{-5}$  and so  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$ . Therefore by the Borel Cantelli lemma we have  $P(A_n \text{ occurs i.o.}) = 1$ .

(vi) To show that  $X_n$  converges a.s. to 0 observe that  $X_n(0) = 0$  and for any  $a > 0$  we have  $X_n(a) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1/a$ . We also see

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n$$

which does not converge to 0 and so  $X_n$  does not converge in mean square to 0.

(vii) We have

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_{n+1}^2 - (n+1)) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[\{S_n^2 + X_{n+1}^2 - 2X_{n+1}S_n - (n+1)\} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_n}]$$

First note that

$$E(\{X_{n+1}^2 - 1\} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_n}) = E(X_{n+1}^2 - 1) \cdot P(A_n) = 0.$$

This is because  $X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n}$  since it is a function of  $S_n$ . Similarly

$$E(X_{n+1} \cdot S_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n}) = E(X_{n+1}) \cdot E(S_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n}) = 0.$$

This proves the result.

4. (i) (a) This follows from

$$y \in (E \cap F)_x \iff (x, y) \in E \cap F \iff (x, y) \in E \text{ and } (x, y) \in F \iff y \in E_x \text{ and } y \in F_x$$

(b) This follows from

$$y \in (E^c)_x \iff (x, y) \in E^c \iff (x, y) \notin E \iff y \notin E_x \iff y \in (E_x)^c$$

(c) This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} y \in (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)_x &\iff (x, y) \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \iff (x, y) \in E_n \text{ for some } n \\ &\iff y \in (E_n)_x \text{ for some } n \iff y \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n)_x \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $f : S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative measurable function. Then the mappings

$$x \rightarrow \int_{S_2} f(x, y) dm_2(y),$$

$$\text{and } y \rightarrow \int_{S_1} f(x, y) dm_1(x),$$

are both measurable. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_1 \times S_2} f d(m_1 \times m_2) &= \int_{S_1} \left( \int_{S_2} f(x, y) dm_2(y) \right) dm_1(x) \\ &= \int_{S_2} \left( \int_{S_1} f(x, y) dm_1(x) \right) dm_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) (a) Let  $r_n$  be an enumeration of the rationals. Note

$$\begin{aligned} G^c &= \{(\omega, y) : X(\omega) < y\} \\ &= \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(\omega, y) : X(\omega) < r_n < y\} \\ &= \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\{X(\omega) < r_n\} \cap \{r_n < y\}) \end{aligned}$$

Both the sets  $\{(\omega, y) : X(\omega) < r_n\}$  and  $\{(\omega, y) : r_n < y\}$  are in the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . Therefore it is clear that  $G^c$  and hence  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ .

(b) We use Fubini's theorem to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} P \times \lambda(G) &= \int_{\Omega \times [0, 1]} \mathbf{1}_G d(P \times \lambda) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{[0, 1]} \mathbf{1}_G d\lambda \right) dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \lambda([0, X(\omega)]) dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) dP = \mathbb{E}X \end{aligned}$$

(iv) (a) Let us check the conditions for the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\lambda$  system

- $S \in \mathcal{C}$  because  $m_1(S) = m_2(S)$ .
- Let  $E_n$  be an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore  $m_1(E_n) = m_2(E_n)$ . We know  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_1(E_n) = m_1(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$  and similarly for  $m_2$ . Therefore  $m_1(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = m_2(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$  and so  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $E \subseteq F \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $m_1(F - E) = m_1(F) - m_1(E) = m_2(F) - m_2(E) = m_2(F - E)$  and so  $F - E \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(b) Let  $m$  be a measure satisfying  $m(J) = \lambda(J)$  for all subintervals of  $[0, 1]$ . Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) : m(A) = \lambda(A)\}$$

From the previous step  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$  system. The collection of subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  is a  $\pi$ -system which is contained in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1]) = \sigma(J : J \text{ is a subinterval}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  by the  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem. Since  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  by definition, we have  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ .