

Lévy-type Stochastic Integrals with Heavy Tails

David Applebaum
School of Computing and Mathematics,
The Nottingham Trent University, Burton Street,
Nottingham, England, NG1 4BU

e-mail: david.applebaum@ntu.ac.uk

IWAP 2004 - Piraeus, Greece

March 22nd-25th 2004

1 Regular Variation

Let F be the distribution function of a non-negative random variable $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$. Tail $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x) = P(X > x)$.

X (or F) has *regular variation at infinity with index* $\alpha \geq 0$ - $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(cx)}{\bar{F}(x)} = c^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } c > 0,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \bar{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha} L(x), L \in \mathcal{R}_0.$$

Regular variation is important in probability theory as:-

- Subexponential, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F * F}(x)}{\bar{F}(x)} = 2$

$$\Rightarrow P(X_1 + X_2 > x) \sim P(\max\{X_1, X_2\} > x),$$

where X_1, X_2 are independent copies of X .

- Domains of attraction
 - in CLT for stable laws (DNA)
 - in extreme value theory for Fréchet distribution
- Applications to e.g. insurance risk, finance, communication systems:-

Large Deviations for Heavy tails: - rare events are caused by the smallest possible number of individual factors.

P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, T. Mikosch, *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (1997)

Left tails: Let $g : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and define $\tilde{g} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\tilde{g}(x) = g(-x)$, for all $x > 0$. $g \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ if and only if $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha$.

2 Lévy Processes

$(X(t), t \geq 0)$ has stationary and independent increments, $X(0) = 0$ (a.s.), stochastically continuous and càdlàg paths.

Write $F_t = F_{X(t)}$

The Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= bt + \sigma B(t) + \int_{|x| < 1} x \tilde{N}(t, dx) + \int_{|x| \geq 1} x N(t, dx) \\ &= \text{drift} + \text{diffusion} + \text{small jumps} + \text{large jumps} \end{aligned}$$

where

- $b \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \geq 0$.
- B is a standard Brownian motion.
- N is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$ with intensity measure $\text{Leb} \otimes \nu$

$$N(t, A) = \#\{0 \leq s \leq t; \Delta X(s) \in A\}.$$

- \tilde{N} is the *compensator* - $\tilde{N}(dt, dx) = N(dt, dx) - \nu(dx)dt$.
- ν is a *Lévy measure* $\int_{\mathbb{R} - \{0\}} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$.

Tail Equivalence

$$\overline{F}_t \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \overline{\nu} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha} \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_t(x)}{t\overline{\nu}(x)} = 1$$

- Feller (1971), Embrechts and Goldie (1981),
(multivariate case - Hult and Lindskog (2002)).

3 Stock Price Models

Stock price evolution $(S(t), t \geq 0)$.

Black-Scholes model $S(t) = S_0 \exp \left\{ \sigma B(t) + \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right) \right\}$.

Defects of Black-Scholes model:-

Empirical evidence suggests

- Non-Gaussian log returns (kurtosis > 3).
- Non-constant volatility.

Lévy models $S(t) = S_0 \exp X(t)$

e.g. X hyperbolic, generalised inverse Gaussian, Meixner process.
Geman, Madan, Yor - small jumps “infinite activity”, large jumps “finite activity”.

see W.Schoutens, *Lévy Processes in Finance: Pricing Financial Derivatives*, Wiley (2003)

Some empirical evidence for heavy tails with $2 \leq \alpha \leq 4$. Perhaps we should take X to be a more complicated semimartingale with jumps and heavy tails ?

4 Lévy-Type Stochastic Integrals

(Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$. \mathcal{P} predictable σ -algebra.

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; 0 < |x| < 1\} \quad , \quad E^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; |x| > 1\}.$$

(F, G, H, K) a quadruple

- $F = (F(t), t \geq 0), G = (G(t), t \geq 0)$ are predictable
- $H = (H(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in E)$ is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable.
- $K = (K(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in E^c)$ is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E^c)$ measurable.

Assume $\int_0^t \left(|F(s)| + |G(s)|^2 + \int_E |H(s, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right) ds < \infty$ a.s..

Lévy-type stochastic integral $M = (M(t), t \geq 0)$, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &:= \int_0^t F(s) ds + \int_0^t G(s) dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_E H(s, x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{E^c} K(s, x) N(ds, dx) \\ &:= I_1^F(t) + I_2^G(t) + I_3^H(t) + I_4^K(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$= \text{drift} + \text{diffusion} + \text{small jumps} + \text{large jumps} \tag{4.2}$$

M is a semimartingale.

- I_2^G and I_3^H are local martingales.
- I_1^F and I_4^K are processes of finite variation.
- $I_4^K(t)$ is a (random) finite sum - $I_4^K(t) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} K(s, \Delta Y(s))$, where $Y(s) = \int_{|x| \geq 1} x N(s, dx)$.

Reference - D.Applebaum *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Cambridge University Press (2004)

5 Moment Estimates

Aim: To find sufficient conditions for M to have regular variation.

Strategy: By imposing suitable moment conditions on F, G and H , show that the right tails of I_1^F, I_2^G and I_3^H decay faster than any negative power of x .

Fix $T \geq 0, 0 < t \leq T$

Test case: $I_2^G(t) = \int_0^t G(s)dB(s)$.

If $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, we assume that $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}(|G(s)|^2)ds < \infty$.

Itô's isometry yields

$$\mathbb{E}(|I_2^G(t)|^2) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|G(s)|^2)ds.$$

Markov's inequality yields

$$P(|I_2^G(t)| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{\int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|G(s)|^2)ds}{\lambda^2},$$

hence for any $L \in \mathcal{R}_0$

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(|I_2^G(t)| \geq \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\alpha}L(\lambda)} = 0.$$

If $\alpha \geq 2$, assume that $\int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|G(s)|^{\alpha+\epsilon}) ds < \infty$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.

As above, we have

$$P(|I_2^G(t)| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(|I_2^G(t)|^{\alpha+\epsilon})}{\lambda^{\alpha+\epsilon}}.$$

Using Burkholder and Hölder's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|I_2^G(t)|^{\alpha+\epsilon}) &\leq C_1(\alpha, \epsilon) \mathbb{E}([I_2^G, I_2^G](t)^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon}{2}}) \\ &= C_1(\alpha, \epsilon) \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |G(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq C_1(\alpha, \epsilon) t^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-2}{2}} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|G(s)|^{\alpha+\epsilon}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and we are finished.

Similar arguments are used to deal with I_1^F and I_3^H .

For I_3^H use recent estimate due to H.Kunita: for each $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|I_3^H(t)|^p) &\leq C_2(p) \left\{ \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}(|H(s, x)|^p) \nu(dx) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_E |H(s, x)|^2 \nu(dx) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, where $C_2(p) > 0$.

6 Assumptions

1. For all $x \in E^c$, $K(t, x) = K(t)f(x)$,
where $\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} K(s) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, $f(x) \geq 0$.
2. $f_+ := f1_{\{x \geq 1\}} \in \mathcal{R}_\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$ and is non-decreasing
with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_+(x) = \infty$.
 $f_- := f1_{\{x \leq -1\}} \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and is non-increasing
with $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f_-(x) = \infty$.
3. K is cáglád and independent of N . For each $0 \leq t \leq T$,
there exists $\epsilon(t) > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\overline{K}(t)^{\rho + \epsilon(t)}) < \infty$, for some
fixed $\rho > 0$.

$$[\overline{K}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} K(s), \quad \underline{K}(t) = \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} K(s) \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0].$$

4. $\nu((-\infty, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{L}_{-\gamma}$ and $\nu((\lambda, \infty)) \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$.
5. *Asymptotic independence*

For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(M(t) - I_4^K(t) > a | I_4^K(t) > b) \sim P(M(t) - I_4^K(t) > a) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty.$$

7 The Associated Compound Poisson Process

Define $Z_f(t) = \int_{|x| \geq 1} f(x)N(t, dx)$

Proposition 7.1 $\overline{F_{Z_f(t)}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\rho}$, where $\rho = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \right\}$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} Z_f(t) &= \int_{x \leq -1} f(x)N(t, dx) + \int_{x \geq 1} f(x)N(t, dx) \\ &:= Z_f^+(t) + Z_f^-(t). \end{aligned}$$

Z_f^+ and Z_f^- are independent compound Poisson processes with Lévy measures $\nu \circ f_+^{-1}$ and $\nu \circ f_-^{-1}$, respectively.

It follows that $\overline{\nu_{f_+}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$ and $\overline{\nu_{f_-}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{\gamma}{\delta}}$,

[see Proposition 0.8 in S.Resnick, *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1987)]

By tail equivalence, $\overline{F_{Z_f^+(t)}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$ and $\overline{F_{Z_f^-(t)}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{\gamma}{\delta}}$.

Result follows by fact that:

If X and Y are independent random variables, with $\overline{F_X} \in \mathcal{R}_{-a}$ and $\overline{F_Y} \in \mathcal{R}_{-b}$, then $\overline{F_{X+Y}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\min\{a,b\}}$.

8 Regular Variation of the Process $I_4^K(t) = \int_0^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} K(s, x) N(ds, dx)$

Fact: (Breiman) If $\overline{F_X} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ and $Y > 0$ is independent of X with $\mathbb{E}(Y^{\alpha+\epsilon}) < \infty$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ then $\overline{F_{XY}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$.

[see section 4.2 in S.Resnick, Point processes, regular variation and weak convergence, *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **18**, 66-138 (1986)]

Theorem 8.1 $\overline{F_{I_4^K(t)}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\rho}$ for each $0 < t \leq T$.

Proof. Using assumption 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\underline{K}(t)Z_f(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\rho)} \\ &\leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(I_4^K(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\rho)} \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(I_4^K(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\rho)} \\ &\leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\overline{K}(t)Z_f(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\rho)} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the required result follows. \square

e.g. Take each $K(t) = g(B(t))$, where $B = (B(t), t \geq 0)$ is a standard Brownian motion and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous, convex and polynomially bounded.

9 The Main Theorem

Theorem 9.1 *Let $M = (M(t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be a Lévy-type stochastic integral of the form satisfying the conditions (1) to (5). Further assume the following:-*

- If $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} \left(|F(s)| + |G(s)|^2 + \int_E |H(s, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right) \right] ds < \infty.$$

- If $1 \leq \rho < 2$, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} \left(|F(s)|^{\rho+\epsilon} + |G(s)|^2 + \int_E |H(s, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right) \right] ds < \infty.$$

- If $\rho \geq 2$, for some $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 > 0$,

$$\int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} \left(|F(s)|^{\rho+\delta_1} + |G(s)|^{\rho+\delta_2} + \int_E |H(s, x)|^{\rho+\delta_3} \nu(dx) \right) \right] ds < \infty,$$

if $\nu(E) < \infty$ or,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} \left(|F(s)|^{\rho+\delta_1} + |G(s)|^{\rho+\delta_2} + \int_E |H(s, x)|^{\rho+\delta_3} \nu(dx) \right) \right] ds \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \int_E |H(s, x)|^2 \nu(dx) ds \right)^{\frac{\rho+\delta_3}{2}} \right] < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

if $\nu(E) = \infty$.

Then $\overline{F_{M(t)}} \in \mathcal{R}_{-\rho}$ for each $0 < t \leq T$.

Proof of the theorem

Let $N(t) = M(t) - I_4^K(t)$.

For each $0 < \eta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(M(t) > \lambda) &\leq P(I_4^K(t) > (1 - \eta)\lambda) + P(N(t) > (1 - \eta)\lambda) \\ &\quad + P(I_4^K(t) \geq \eta\lambda, N(t) \geq \eta\lambda) \\ &\leq P(I_4^K(t) > (1 - \eta)\lambda) + P(|N(t)| \geq (1 - \eta)\lambda) \\ &\quad + P(|N(t)| \geq \eta\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now for any $\kappa > 0$,

$$P(|N(t)| \geq \kappa) \leq P\left(|I_1^F(t)| \geq \frac{\kappa}{3}\right) + P\left(|I_2^G(t)| \geq \frac{\kappa}{3}\right) + P\left(|I_3^H(t)| \geq \frac{\kappa}{3}\right).$$

Moment estimates ensure that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(|N(t)| \geq (1 - \eta)\lambda) + P(|N(t)| \geq \eta\lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\lambda)} = 0,$$

for any $L \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

$$\text{Hence } \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(M(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\lambda)} \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(I_4^K(t) > (1 - \eta)\lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\lambda)} = (1 - \eta)^{-\rho}.$$

Now take limits as $\eta \downarrow 0$, to obtain

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(M(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho}L(\lambda)} \leq 1.$$

For the reverse inequality, fix $C > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(M(t) > \lambda) &\geq P(N(t) > -C, I_4^K(t) > \lambda + C) \\ &= P(N(t) > -C | I_4^K(t) > \lambda + C) P(I_4^K(t) > \lambda + C). \end{aligned}$$

By the asymptotic independence assumption

$$P(N(t) > -C | I_4^K(t) > \lambda + C) \sim P(N(t) > -C), \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the representation theorem for slowly varying functions,

$$P(I_4^K(t) > \lambda + C) \sim P(I_4^K(t) > \lambda), \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence deduce that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(M(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho} L(\lambda)} \geq P(N(t) > -C).$$

Now take limits as $C \rightarrow \infty$, to obtain

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(M(t) > \lambda)}{\lambda^{-\rho} L(\lambda)} \geq 1,$$

and the result follows. □

10 Extensions

- Similar results hold in *multivariate* case - use recent ideas of

F.Lindskog, *Multivariate Extremes and Regular Variation for Stochastic Processes*, Diss. ETH No.15319 (2004)

- Extensions to more complicated classes of semimartingales ?
- What about subexponentiality ?
- Applications to finance ?